



Institution: University of Texas at Austin
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1044104
Date: 06/20/2019

General Information

Institution Information

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Institution or Conference | University of Texas at Austin |
| Division of Institution | I |
| Conference | Big 12 Conference |
| Phone Number | 512/471-1232 |
| Academic year related to this request | 2018-19 |

Sub Case Types

Please check all the Interpretation Request sub-case types and conditions that apply

Eligibility - Bylaw 14

Eligibility - Bylaw 14 Sub-Case Types

Four-Year College Transfers-Bylaw 14.5.5
(Including Graduate Transfers - Bylaws 14.6.1)

Legislation Related to this Request

| Type | Division | ID | Text |
|------------------|----------|-------------|--|
| Bylaw | I | 14.5.5.2.10 | One-Time Transfer Exception |
| Interpretation | I | | Granting permission to use one-time transfer exception (I) |
| Education Column | I | | Recruiting and Eligibility -- Four-Year Prospective Student-Athletes -- Transfer Release -- Response to Request and Hearing Opportunity. (I) |
| Bylaw | I | 14.5.1 | Residence Requirement -- General Principle. |

Provide cite(s) of applicable legislation, educational column or interpretation.

One sentence statement that describes the interpretive issue. The institution is seeking clarification on a student-athlete's use of the one-time transfer exception.

Case Prioritization Information

Do the circumstances of this request require urgent review (within the next two business days)? No

Case Information

Case Information

All Requests

Describe the interpretive request: See enclosed attachment. Character limits did not permit the full text to be included in this section.

Have you previously contacted any NCAA staff regarding this request? No

Have the institution and conference communicated regarding this request? Yes

Provide the date(s) of contact between the institution and conference office regarding this request. 01/10/2019

Provide the conference analysis of the interpretive request. Text written by UT: The institution's conference office shared a previous interpretation provided by the NCAA (RSRO Case #1020563) where the staff took the position that it is not permissible for an institution to retroactively grant use of the one-time transfer exception to an SA if their use was previously denied. However, this interpretation did not address what should happen if a previous institution already communicated their willingness to grant the exception at some point.

Provide the institution's analysis of the interpretive request. See above.

Case Documentation

(Maximum individual file size is 10MB.) Upload each document individually.

Recommended Documentation

If necessary

Provide any documentation related to the interpretive request

[NCAA Interpretation - One-Time Transfer Issues.pdf](#)
Uploaded on 01/11/2019 by Blake Barlow

[\[REDACTED\] - Appeal Decision.pdf](#)
Uploaded on 01/11/2019 by Blake Barlow

[\[REDACTED\] - Release Request Decision Letter.pdf](#)
Uploaded on 01/11/2019 by Blake Barlow

[Interp- Granting One-Time Transfer Exception after SA has Served Term in Residence and after Initial Denial of Exception 07.03.18 from NCAA.pdf](#)
Uploaded on 01/11/2019 by Blake Barlow

Case Contacts and Submission

Select Contacts for this Case

Primary Contact: Blake Barlow (blake.barlow@athletics.utexas.edu) Phone: 512-471-9778

Secondary Contact: Lori Hammond (lhammond@utexas.edu) Phone: 512-471-5569

Additional Case Contacts

Additional contacts with a valid email address from the conference or member institution will receive selected e-mails related to the case.

| Email Delivery | First Name | Last Name | Title | E-mail Address |
|----------------|------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------------|
| All | Keri | Mendoza | Asst. Commissioner | keri@big12sports.com |

Terms and Conditions

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions

I understand the interpretations staff response will be based solely on the specific facts provided in this interpretive request. Any changes to the facts presented, or additional information relevant to this request, must be submitted through Requests/Self-Reports Online.

Agreement by Blake Barlow on 01/11/2019

Activity After Case Submission

Responses to Requests for Additional Information

Withdraw/Appeal/Reconsider History

| Decision Level | Request Accepted? | Reason Type | Reason for Request | Responses to Questions | Additional Case Precedent | Status | Submitted By | Submitted At |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Committee | Yes | Appeal | Disagree with interpretation | | | Closed | Blake Barlow | 02/07/2019 |
| Details: | | Materials submitted in IC appeal. | | | | | | |



Institution: University of Texas at Austin
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1044104
Date: 06/21/2019

[Decision PDF](#)

Decision

Accepted Date 02/11/2019

Accepted By Blake Barlow

Your feedback is important. Would you like to give praise, report a problem or share an idea? With your help and input, we can continue to improve AMA's service and the RSRO submission process.

[Provide Feedback](#)

Status

Resolved

**Status
Date**

02/08/2019

Conditions

Rationale

Interpretation:: The committee upheld the staff position. The committee agreed that the inclusion of a statement saying that the one-time transfer exception can be used after a set period of time is not considered a condition the student-athlete must fulfill prior to the institution granting the use of the exception. This statement in and of itself does not nullify the institution's response to the student-athlete's written request, which the committee agreed clearly expressed the institution's intent to deny use of the one-time transfer exception. Therefore, the institution fulfilled its obligation of providing a response within the required time period and providing an appellate opportunity to the student-athlete.

The committee also noted that while the inclusion of the delayed use of the transfer exception (e.g., after the 2018-19 academic year) does not nullify the response, the institution has no authority under NCAA legislation to permit the partial use of the one-time transfer exception. Therefore, the student-athlete in this situation would not be able to compete for the new institution until serving a full year in residence.

The committee reiterated that if an institution does indeed condition the use of the exception on the student-athlete fulfilling specific obligations the response is nullified and the release is granted by default because the institution did not grant or deny the request within the required time period.



Institution: University of Texas at Austin
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1050183
Date: 06/20/2019

Page 1 - General Information

General Information

Institution Information

Institution or Conference University of Texas at Austin

Division of Institution I

Conference Big 12 Conference

Phone Number 512/471-1232

Academic year related to this request 2018-19

Sub Case Types

Please check all the Interpretation Request sub-case types and conditions that apply

Playing and Practice Seasons - Bylaw 17

Legislation Related to this Request

Provide cite(s) of applicable legislation,
educational column or interpretation

| Type | Division ID | Text |
|-------|-------------|--|
| Bylaw | I | 17.11.5.1.3 In-Season Foreign Competition. |

One sentence statement that describes the
interpretive issue.

Does the language in 17.11.5.1.3 limit an institution to one foreign trip per year,
including trips to Mexico or Canada?

Case Prioritization Information

Do the circumstances of this request require
urgent review (within the next two business
days)? No

Page 2 - Case Information

Case Information

Case Information

All Requests

Describe the interpretive request

The institution is seeking clarity on the wording of 17.11.5.1.3 as it applies to a single sport engaging in multiple trips to Canada/Mexico in the same playing season or permitting a single sport to participate in in-season foreign competition (e.g., event in the Bahamas) and also participate in a separate event in Canada/Mexico during a different trip within the same season. The institution believes that the language of the bylaw, and the associated original proposal, can be read in one of two ways. One way is that the "one trip" component of the legislation applies only to the associated sentence about in-season foreign competition outside of Canada/Mexico, therefore permitting multiple trips to Canada/Mexico in the same season; the other way is that "one trip" also applies to the second sentence related to competition in Canada or Mexico, therefore only permitting a sport to engage in one single foreign trip per year but allowing such a trip to occur more frequently than once every four years if the trip is to Canada/Mexico. The intent of the original proposal (attached) was to limit competition in foreign countries not contiguous to the United States to the same "once-in-four-years" requirement as foreign tours. Further, the original proposal was amended by the (adopted) 127-1 which added the minor but significant phrase, "on one trip," which is ambiguous in its application. This amended version does clearly distinguish that a sport could visit multiple countries on a single trip and are not just limited to competing in a single foreign country on a single trip. However, the inclusion of an exemption for Canada and Mexico implies that they are also categorized as foreign countries for purposes of in-season foreign competition. If Canada and Mexico are considered foreign countries, and therefore subject to the first sentence of the bylaw, the legislation appears to require a choice between in-season foreign competition (once every four years), or a single trip to Canada or Mexico (available every playing season). If Canada and Mexico are not considered foreign countries, the legislation appears to allow unlimited trips to those destinations, which could also be combined with in-season foreign competition (occurring within the appropriate four year window) in a single playing season. The institution seeks guidance on this issue relative to planning future sport schedules and advising on the permissibility of competing in multiple foreign destinations in one playing season.

Have you previously contacted any NCAA staff regarding this request? Yes

Provide the case number or name(s) of NCAA staff previously contacted regarding this request Anne Rohlman

Provide the date(s) of contact with NCAA staff regarding this request 02/13/2019

Have the institution and conference communicated regarding this request? No

Case Documentation

(Maximum individual file size is 10MB.) Upload each document individually.

Recommended Documentation

If necessary

Provide any documentation related to the interpretive request

[No 127 Foreign Competition 002 .pdf](#)

Uploaded on 02/26/2019 by Jenna LeClere

Case Contacts and Submission

Select Contacts for this Case

Primary Contact Jenna LeClere (leclere@utexas.edu) Phone: 5124718193

Secondary Contact Blake Barlow (blake.barlow@athletics.utexas.edu) Phone: 512-471-9778

Additional Case Contacts

Additional contacts with a valid email address from the conference or member institution will receive selected e-mails related to the case.

Email Delivery First Name Last Name Title E-mail Address

Terms and Conditions

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions

I understand the interpretations staff response will be based solely on the specific facts provided in this interpretive request. Any changes to the facts presented, or additional information relevant to this request, must be submitted through Requests/Self-Reports Online.

Agreement by Jenna LeClere on 02/26/2019

Activity After Case Submission

Responses to Requests for Additional Information



Institution: University of Texas at Austin
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1050183
Date: 06/21/2019

[Decision PDF](#)

Decision

Accepted Date 03/04/2019

Accepted By Jenna LeClerc

Your feedback is important. Would you like to give praise, report a problem or share an idea? With your help and input, we can continue to improve AMA's service and the RSRO submission process.

[Provide Feedback](#)

Status

Resolved

**Status
Date**

03/04/2019

Conditions

Rationale

Interpretation:: No, trips to Mexico and Canada are not included in the limit of one foreign trip per year per Bylaw 17.11.5.1.3. Specifically, the original proposal clarified that the intent was "to limit regular-season contests played in foreign countries which are not contiguous to the continental United States". The additional amendment (127-1) does not impact the intent to except trips to Mexico and Canada from the limitation.

Therefore, it would be permissible for an institution to take multiple "competition trips" to Mexico/Canada in one season. Further, these trips could be coupled with the one-in-four-year foreign competition (e.g., trip to Europe), as well as a foreign tour.



Institution: University of Texas at Austin
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1054494
Date: 06/20/2019

General Information

Institution Information

Institution or Conference University of Texas at Austin

Division of Institution I

Conference Big 12 Conference

Phone Number 512/471-1232

Academic year related to this request 2015-16

Sub Case Types

Please check all the Interpretation Request sub-case types and conditions that apply

Eligibility - Bylaw 14

Eligibility - Bylaw 14 Sub-Case Types

Other Bylaw 14

Financial Aid - Bylaw 15

Legislation Related to this Request

Provide cite(s) of applicable legislation, educational column or interpretation

| Type | Division | ID | Text |
|----------------|----------|------------|--|
| Bylaw | I | 15.5.3.2.5 | Cancellation of Athletically Related Aid. |
| Interpretation | I | | Definition of countable student-athlete for completion of squad list |
| Bylaw | I | 12.10.2 | Squad-List Form. |
| Interpretation | I | | Calculation of Team Equivalencies |
| Bylaw | I | 15.5.11.2 | Squad-List Form. |

One sentence statement that describes the interpretive issue.

Institution is requesting clarification on the appropriate equivalency calculation and APR cohort/squad list inclusion requirements for a student-athlete who withdrew from his sport program after receiving books for 12 days, never participated in a countable activity, and reimbursed the institution for the full cost of the books he received prior to the institution's census date.

Case Prioritization Information

Do the circumstances of this request require urgent review (within the next two business days)? No

Case Information



Institution: University of Texas at Austin
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1054494
Date: 06/21/2019

[Decision PDF](#)

Decision

Your feedback is important. Would you like to give praise, report a problem or share an idea? With your help and input, we can continue to improve AMA's service and the RSRO submission process.

[Provide Feedback](#)

Status

**Status
Date**

Resolved

04/29/2019

Conditions

Rationale

Interpretation:: Based upon the information provided, the described individual received athletics aid during the fall term before it was cancelled pursuant to the voluntary withdrawal legislation. The aid was cancelled (and fully recouped) prior to the institution's census date or fifth week of class; therefore, the SA was properly excluded from the APR cohort. NCAA legislation does not require the SA to be listed on the squad list; however, compliance with Bylaw 15 and APR policies and procedures must be documented. Further, for purposes of the re-award of the SA's athletics aid during the ensuing term, the equivalency associated with the SA's fall athletics aid cannot be lower than .01.

If you accept this decision, click "I Accept" below. Once you accept the decision the case will be closed. Do not click "I Accept" if your institution intends to appeal the decision. An appeal must be submitted within 30 days of the decision issued date and shall be submitted via the case "Withdraw/Appeal/Reconsideration" tab.

Case Information

All Requests

Describe the interpretive request See enclosed document.

Have you previously contacted any NCAA staff regarding this request? Yes

Provide the case number or name(s) of NCAA staff previously contacted regarding this request Alex Smith

Provide the date(s) of contact with NCAA staff regarding this request 4/8/19

Have the institution and conference communicated regarding this request? No

Page 3 - Case Documentation

Case Documentation

(Maximum individual file size is 10MB.) Upload each document individually.

Recommended Documentation

If necessary


Provide any documentation related to the interpretive request

[NCAA Interp- Re-awarding Entire Amount of Full Equivalency SA Voluntary.._002_.pdf](#)
Uploaded on 04/12/2019 by Blake Barlow

Page 4 - Case Contacts and Submission

Case Contacts and Submission

Select Contacts for this Case

Primary Contact Blake Barlow (blake.barlow@athletics.utexas.edu) Phone: 5124719778 Cell: 

Secondary Contact Lori Hammond (lhammond@utexas.edu) Phone: 512-471-5569

Additional Case Contacts

Additional contacts with a valid email address from the conference or member institution will receive selected e-mails related to the case.

| Email Delivery | First Name | Last Name | Title | E-mail Address |
|----------------|------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| All | Keri | Boyce | Assistant Commissioner - Compliance | keri@big12sports.com |
| All | Jessica | Hamm | Director - Compliance and Governance | jhamm@big12sports.com |

Terms and Conditions

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions

I understand the interpretations staff response will be based solely on the specific facts provided in this interpretive request. Any changes to the facts presented, or additional information relevant to this request, must be submitted through Requests/Self-Reports Online.

Agreement by Blake Barlow on 04/12/2019

Activity After Case Submission

Responses to Requests for Additional Information

Withdraw/Appeal/Reconsider History

| Decision Level | Request Accepted? | Reason Type | Reason for Request | Responses to Questions | Additional Case Precedent | Status | Submitted By | Submitted At |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Staff Reconsideration | Yes | Reconsideration | Submitting New Information | | | Closed | Blake Barlow | 04/15/2019 |
| Details: | | I had intended to submit the enclosed document with the our full interpretive request but inadvertently left it off our initial submission. My apologies for the oversight. | | | | | | |



Institution: University of Texas at Austin
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1060667
Date: 06/20/2019

General Information

Institution Information

Institution or Conference University of Texas at Austin

Division of Institution I

Conference Big 12 Conference

Phone Number 512/471-1232

Academic year related to this request 2019-20

Sub Case Types

Please check all the Interpretation Request sub-case types and conditions that apply

Awards and Benefits - Bylaw 16

Legislation Related to this Request

Provide cite(s) of applicable legislation, educational column or interpretation

| Type | Division | ID | Text |
|----------------|----------|---------|--|
| Bylaw | I | 16.02.3 | Extra Benefit. |
| Bylaw | I | 16.11.2 | Nonpermissible. |
| Interpretation | I | | Booster investing in student-athletes' corporation |
| Bylaw | I | 16.11.1 | Permissible. |

One sentence statement that describes the interpretive issue.

Student-athlete has invested in a startup company and the institution is seeking clarification on whether a representative of athletics interests can also invest in the company.

Case Prioritization Information

Do the circumstances of this request require urgent review (within the next two business days)? No

Case Information



Institution: University of Texas at Austin
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1060667
Date: 06/20/2019

[Decision PDF](#)

Decision

Your feedback is important. Would you like to give praise, report a problem or share an idea? With your help and input, we can continue to improve AMA's service and the RSRO submission process.

[Provide Feedback](#)

Status

Resolved

Status
Date

06/17/2019

Conditions

Rationale

Interpretation:: Based on the facts presented, the booster appears to be entering into a legitimate business arrangement with an LLC owned by a student-athlete's friend that the student-athlete has also invested in. Provided this arrangement is not based in any way on the student-athlete's athletic reputation, it would meet the intent of the attached interpretation.

If you accept this decision, click "I Accept" below. Once you accept the decision the case will be closed. Do not click "I Accept" if your institution intends to appeal the decision. An appeal must be submitted within 30 days of the decision issued date and shall be submitted via the case "Withdraw/Appeal/Reconsideration" tab.

Case Information

All Requests

Describe the interpretive request

Interpretive request: Football student-athlete (SA) is a passive [REDACTED] in a company founded by a close high school friend (CEO) called RevGum, which sells [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] has developed an FDA-approved product that is currently available for consumer purchase in several Texas-based stores. The SA and CEO met when the SA was in the ninth grade. The CEO started the company in 2017 while a student at the institution and the SA agreed to invest in the company in its early stages. The CEO is the majority owner; the SA now owns 2% of the company but is not involved in the general day-to-day operations. The company has not yet turned a profit but is continuing to expand and seek new forms of capital. There are currently 13 investors from a variety of industries. The CEO and SA attended high school in a [REDACTED] and both have connections with a member of the University's Board of Regents (Regent), who is also a representative of the institution's athletics interests, through mutual acquaintances. The Regent is the Executive Chairman of CapStar Partners, a private investment firm that invests in early-stage private companies in a variety of industries. His company has previously invested in several successful startup companies. The CEO and SA recently met with the Regent to seek his professional advice related to the company. The Regent has now expressed an interest in investing in the company but does not want to jeopardize the SA's eligibility by doing so. The Regent has indicated that the SA's involvement has no bearing on his interest in investing in the company and any investment would be in the same manner as he has done with comparable startup companies with the goal of receiving a significant financial return on his investment. The institution is therefore seeking confirmation that the Regent can invest in the company without comprising the SA's athletics eligibility. Rationale: The institution believes it is permissible for the Regent to invest in a company that is partially-owned by a SA given the following circumstances: 1. The company is established and registered as an LLC in Texas and has an existing operating agreement in place for all investors 2. The SA's involvement in the company is independent of his athletics abilities 3. The SA is not currently receiving any income from the company and would not receive any direct benefit from the Regent's investment. The SA would only realize long-term benefits if the company distributed profits to investors or the SA sold his share of the company to another investor. 4. The Regent has confirmed that his potential investment in the company is completely independent of the SA's affiliation with the company and is solely based on the potential return on his investment 5. The Regent's investment and corresponding equity in the company would be consistent with general industry practices given the company's current valuation and potential for future profitability

Have you previously contacted any NCAA staff regarding this request? No

Have the institution and conference communicated regarding this request? Yes

Provide the date(s) of contact between the institution and conference office regarding this request. 06/07/2019

Provide the conference analysis of the interpretive request. The conference agrees with the institution's analysis.

Provide the institution's analysis of the interpretive request. See above.

Case Documentation

(Maximum individual file size is 10MB.) Upload each document individually.

Recommended Documentation

If necessary

Provide any documentation related to the interpretive request

Case Contacts and Submission

Select Contacts for this Case

Primary Contact Blake Barlow (blake.barlow@athletics.utexas.edu) Phone: 512-471-9778

Secondary Contact Lori Hammond (lhammond@utexas.edu) Phone: 512-471-5569

Additional Case Contacts

Additional contacts with a valid email address from the conference or member institution will receive selected e-mails related to the case.

Email Delivery First Name Last Name Title E-mail Address

Terms and Conditions

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions

I understand the interpretations staff response will be based solely on the specific facts provided in this interpretive request. Any changes to the facts presented, or additional information relevant to this request, must be submitted through Requests/Self-Reports Online.

Agreement by Blake Barlow on 06/07/2019

Activity After Case Submission

Responses to Requests for Additional Information



Institution: Big 12 Conference
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1020563
Date: 07/03/2018

Page 1 - General Information

General Information

Institution Information

Institution or Conference Big 12 Conference

Division of Conference I

Academic year related to this request 2018-19

Sub Case Types

Please check all the Interpretation Request sub-case types and conditions that apply

Eligibility - Bylaw 14

Eligibility - Bylaw 14 Sub-Case Types

Four-Year College Transfers-Bylaw 14.5.5 (Including
Graduate Transfers - Bylaws 14.6.1)

Legislation Related to this Request

Provide cite(s) of applicable legislation, educational column
or interpretation

| Type | Division | ID | Text |
|----------------|----------|-------------|---|
| Bylaw | I | 14.5.5.2.10 | One-Time Transfer Exception. |
| Interpretation | I | | Retroactive application of one-time transfer exception |
| Bylaw | I | 14.6.1 | One-Time Transfer Exception. |
| Education | I | | Recruiting and Eligibility -- Four-Year Prospective Student-Athletes -- Permission to Contact and Transfer Release -- Response to Request and Hearing Opportunity (I) |

One sentence statement that describes the interpretive issue.

Clarification whether an institution can grant the one-time transfer exception for a student-athlete it initially denied use of the exception and who has been an enrolled student-athlete at another institution for a semester.

Case Prioritization Information

Do the circumstances of this request require urgent review
(within the next two business days)? No

Page 2 - Case Information

Case Information

Case Information

All Requests

Describe the interpretive request

Institution is seeking clarification on granting the one-time transfer exception for a former student-athlete after the student-athlete has transferred. Specifically, a student-athlete plans to transfer and enroll at another institution in the fall of 2018. The previous institution wishes to deny use of the one-time transfer exception, but wants to maintain the possibility of later granting the one-time transfer exception after the fall semester. The 9/29/89 Staff Interpretation clarifies that granting the one-time transfer exception may be applied retroactively when an institution failed to receive it upon the student-athlete's enrollment. However, this case is different in that the institution wants to deny use of the exception with the possibility of later granting it.

Have you previously contacted any NCAA staff regarding this request? No

Have the institution and conference communicated regarding this request? Yes

Provide the date(s) of contact between the institution and conference office regarding this request. 07/02/2018

Provide the conference analysis of the interpretive request. The Conference believes that the institution may not retroactively grant use of the one-time transfer exception after initially denying it. To do so would permit the coaches to have power of crafting the exceptions how they deem appropriate. Further, these exceptions are intended to be applied upon enrollment, not at any point through the student-athlete's initial year of enrollment at the certifying institution.

Provide the institution's analysis of the interpretive request. Did not provide analysis.

Page 3 - Case Documentation

Case Documentation

(Maximum individual file size is 10MB.) Upload each document individually.

Recommended Documentation

If necessary

Provide any documentation related to the interpretive request

Page 4 - Case Contacts and Submission

Case Contacts and Submission

Select Contacts for this Case

Primary Contact Jessica Hamm (jhamm@big12sports.com) Phone: 4695241024

Secondary Contact () Phone:

Additional Case Contacts

Additional contacts with a valid email address from the conference or member institution will receive selected e-mails related to the case.

Email Delivery First Name Last Name Title E-mail Address

Terms and Conditions

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions

I understand the interpretations staff response will be based solely on the specific facts provided in this interpretive request. Any changes to the facts presented, or additional information relevant to this request, must be submitted through Requests/Self-Reports Online

Agreement by Jessica Hamm on 07/02/2018

Activity After Case Submission

Responses to Requests for Additional Information



Institution: Big 12 Conference
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1020563
Date: 07/06/2018

[Decision PDF](#)

Decision

Accepted Date 07/03/2018

Accepted By Jessica Hamm

Status

Resolved

**Status
Date**

07/03/2018

Conditions

Rationale

Interpretation:: The staff agrees with the conference office analysis. An institution could not deny the use of the one-time transfer and then later retroactively approve the use of the one-time transfer exception. An institution would not be able to delay the effective date of the use of the exception. This would be like a condition which is not permissible. The legislation is clear that the decision must be made within seven days of the written request.

The retroactive application would only apply if the situation met the parameters of the attached interpretation (i.e., never requested the use of the one-time transfer exception previously). In this situation, if the institution denies the use of the exception the student-athlete must be given a hearing.

Your feedback is important. Would you like to give praise, report a problem or share an idea? With your help and input, we can continue to improve AMA's service and the RSRO submission process.

[Provide Feedback](#)



General Information

Institution Information

Division of Institution I

Conference Big 12 Conference

Academic year related to this request 2018-19

Sub Case Types

Please check all the Interpretation Request sub-case types and conditions that apply

Financial Aid - Bylaw 15

Legislation Related to this Request

| Provide cite(s) of applicable legislation, educational column or interpretation | Type | Division ID | Text |
|---|-------|-------------|--|
| | Bylaw | I 15.3.5.1 | Reduction, Cancellation or Nonrenewal Permitted. |
| | Bylaw | I 15.5.1.4 | Cancellation of Aid. |

One sentence statement that describes the interpretive issue. If a student-athlete's aid is cancelled due to voluntary withdrawal from the sport, can the equivalency gained back from that term's cancelled aid also be re-awarded in the following term, in addition to the following term's equivalency?

Case Prioritization Information

Do the circumstances of this request require urgent review (within the next two business days)? No

Case Information

Case Information

All Requests

Describe the interpretive request

A student-athlete voluntarily withdrew [REDACTED] after the first day of classes/practice, and may be withdrawing entirely from the university. His aid is being cancelled as of the second day of classes, and that will result in a return of 0.52 in the team's equivalency (he will count at 0.02 for the year). Can the team re-award the full 0.52 in the spring term? It is our understanding that the full portion of cancelled financial aid may be awarded to another student-athlete in the spring term, rather than just the spring portion (in this case, 0.27) in the spring term.

Have you previously contacted any NCAA staff regarding this request? No

Have the institution and conference communicated regarding this request? No

Case Documentation

(Maximum individual file size is 10MB.) Upload each document individually.

Recommended Documentation

If necessary

Provide any documentation related to the interpretive request



Division: I

Case ID: 1027043

Date: 09/04/2018

[Decision PDF](#)

Decision

Accepted Date 08/28/2018

Your feedback is important. Would you like to give praise, report a problem or share an idea? With your help and input, we can continue to improve AMA's service and the RSRO submission process.

[Provide Feedback](#)

Status

Resolved

**Status
Date**

08/28/2018

Conditions

Rationale

Interpretation:: Pursuant to Bylaws 15.3.5.1 and 15.5.3.2.5, if a student-athlete's aid is cancelled following a voluntary withdrawal during the fall term, it is permissible to award the entire amount of cancelled equivalency (fall and spring term) to another student-athlete in the spring term, rather than just the spring portion of the withdrawn student-athlete's equivalency.