



# Case Summary

## General Case Information

Case Number	Case Type	Sub Case Type	Release to Database
1051002	Interpretation Request	Playing and Practice Seasons - Bylaw 17	No

Division	Sport(s)
I	

### Legislative Cite(s)

17.1.7.6.8 - Exception -- Three Contests in Seven-Day Period.

## Status Information

Status	Status Date	Status Level	Status Level Type
Resolved	03/11/2019	Staff	Bylaw Team Meeting

### Conditions

### Rationale

Interpretation:: The NCAA staff agrees with the conference analysis. The institution would not be able to use the exception because the three games are not within seven days. The original intent of this legislation was to provide flexibility if a team had the contests during the declared week of the playing season. The legislation was modified to allow flexibility when three contests occurred during a consecutive seven day period instead of the declared week. This took into consideration that situations may arise where contests are scheduled that span two declared weeks but create the same issue. However, the legislation always contemplate actual calendar days and not 24 hour periods.

## Case Summary

**Specific Case Information**

Describe the interpretive request ..... The University of Oregon women's lacrosse team has schedule a three-contest road trip during its spring break which consists of the following schedule: March 22, travel to Boulder, CO; March 23, play CU (start noon PST); March 24, travel to Baltimore (with no CARA); March 25, practice; March 26, practice; March 27, play Delaware, drive to MD; March 28, practice; March 29, practice; March 30, play Ohio State (start 9am PST), travel home. If the exception laid out in Bylaw 17.1.7.4.8 can be applied, the squad will take Sunday, March 31st and April 1st off. All three contests will be played and conclude within 168 hours. This schedule does not meet the criteria set out for the previously approved waiver checklist.

Provide the conference analysis of the interpretive request ..... The involved bylaw refers to days not hours and therefore the three contests within 8 calendar days does not qualify for the exception.

Provide the institution's analysis of the interpretive request ..... If an institution has three contests within 168 hours (again 24 hours times seven days), it should have the option of applying the exception set out by



# Case Summary

## General Case Information

Case Number	Case Type	Sub Case Type	Release to Database
1056418	Interpretation Request	Championships and Postseason Football - Bylaw 18	No

Division	Sport(s)
I	

### Legislative Cite(s)

18.4.1.4.2 - Penalty -- Illicit Drugs.  
17.23.5.1 - Maximum Limitations -- Institutional.

## Status Information

Status	Status Date	Status Level	Status Level Type
Resolved	05/09/2019	Staff	Individual

### Conditions

### Rationale

Interpretation:: Indoor and outdoor track and field are considered separate sports for this analysis. The withholding requirement is 50 percent of the Bylaw 17 maximum in each sport, regardless of how many contests or dates of competition are scheduled by an institution. In this case, you assume 9 contests for each sport (since they are permitted 18 combined). The student-athlete would need to be withheld from the first five dates of competition (50% of 9 rounded up) in indoor and the first five dates of competition in outdoor.

Please note, dates of competition used to fulfill the penalty must be dates of competition considered for championship selection. The withholding condition is based on how the institution counts institutional (not individual) dates of competition per Bylaw 17. Therefore, if the institution is competing against two teams but counting it as only one date of competition, then for purposes of the withholding condition, it is only one date of competition. Finally, postseason competition may be used to serve the penalty.

Based on the above and the documentation provided, it appears the SA has served the withholding penalty. Please note, an exit test must be scheduled with Drug Free Sport prior to return to competition.

## Case Summary

**Specific Case Information**

Describe the interpretive request Please see uploaded document titled "RSRO interp request re withholding penalty."

Provide the conference analysis of the interpretive request. No determination made. Pac-12 referred institution to Ms. Durden

Provide the institution's analysis of the interpretive request. We believe that our proposed withholding schedule meets the penalty set out in Bylaw 18.4.1.4.2. However, the stakes are too great for an error to be made, hence, the request for confirmation.