



Case Summary

General Case Information

Case Number	Case Type	Sub Case Type	Release to Database
1060874	Interpretation Request	Amateurism - Bylaw 12 Playing and Practice Seasons - Bylaw 17	No

Division **Sport(s)**

I

Legislative Cite(s)

- 12.4.2.2 - National Team Practice and Competition
- Determination of a Scholastic Team (I)
- 16.8.1.2 - Other Competition.
- 17.02.9 - National Team.
- Nonscholastic Practice or Competition -- Bowl Subdivision Football and Basketball (I)

Status Information

Status	Status Date	Status Level	Status Level Type
Resolved	06/13/2019	Staff	Individual

Conditions

Rationale

Interpretation:: Per the April 16, 2014, official interpretation, a team comprised of prospective student-athletes that is formally affiliated with a specific secondary institution and includes only students who are enrolled full time at the specific secondary institution with which the team is formally affiliated is considered a scholastic team. A team that does not meet the above mentioned criteria may be considered scholastic only if the team is organized or administered under the auspices of a scholastic governing body and is eligible for events that are conducted by the governing body, including championship events. It is likely that teams participating in the Maccabi Games are nonscholastic teams. If this is the case, the institution's coaches would need to follow the legislation regarding the restrictions on coaching of a non scholastic team.

Further, per Question Nos. 7 and 8 of the May 17, 2013, educational column, practice or competition conducted by a national governing body is considered nonscholastic. Staff used this analysis when determining whether an institution may host the Maccabi Games on its campus. Further, it does not appear that the Maccabi Games were meant to be included in 16.8.1.2. The proposal states this legislation was intended to be extended to select elite regional events, such as the North American Championships and European Championships. As described, the Maccabi games is a Jewish athletic competition, rather than a regional or national championship event.

Case Summary

Specific Case Information

Describe the interpretive request The World Maccabi Games are a recognized international competition particular to athletes of Jewish Heritage. Is it permissible for a coach to serve as a Team Coach for the World Maccabi Games? Secondly, is it permissible for the Maccabi Games team to practice at a collegiate facility, meaning could any NCAA institution regardless of Division status allow the Maccabi Games team to practice in their on campus facility?

Provide the conference analysis of the interpretive request.

Provide the institution's analysis of the interpretive request.



Institution: Murray State University
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1060874
Date: 06/26/2019

Page 1 - General Information

General Information

Institution Information

Institution or Conference: Murray State University
Division of Institution: I
Conference: Ohio Valley Conference
Phone Number: 270/809-3763
Academic year related to this request: 2019-20

Sub Case Types

Please check all the Interpretation Request sub-case types and conditions that apply

Amateurism - Bylaw 12 Playing and Practice Seasons - Bylaw 17

Legislation Related to this Request

Type	Division	ID	Text
Bylaw	I	12.4.2.2	<u>National Team Practice and Competition</u>
Interpretation	I		<u>Determination of a Scholastic Team (I)</u>
Bylaw	I	16.8.1.2	<u>Other Competition.</u>
Bylaw	I	17.02.9	<u>National Team.</u>
Education Column	I		<u>Nonscholastic Practice or Competition -- Bowl Subdivision Football and Basketball (I)</u>

One sentence statement that describes the interpretive issue. Question about participation in practices for the World Maccabi Games and status as a recognized National Team.

Case Prioritization Information

Do the circumstances of this request require urgent review (within the next two business days)? No

Page 2 - Case Information

Case Information

Case Information

All Requests

Describe the interpretive request: The World Maccabi Games are a recognized international competition particular to athletes of Jewish Heritage. Is it permissible for a coach to serve as a Team Coach for the World Maccabi Games? Secondly, is it permissible for the Maccabi Games team to practice at a collegiate facility, meaning could any NCAA institution regardless of Division status allow the Maccabi Games team to practice in their on campus facility?

Have you previously contacted any NCAA staff regarding this request? No

Have the institution and conference communicated regarding this request? No

Page 3 - Case Documentation

Case Documentation

(Maximum individual file size is 10MB.) Upload each document individually.

Recommended Documentation

If necessary

Provide any documentation related to the interpretive request

[Maccabi_USA_interpretive_request.docx](#)
Uploaded on 06/10/2019 by Matt Kelly

Page 4 - Case Contacts and Submission

Case Contacts and Submission

Select Contacts for this Case

Primary Contact Matt Kelly (matt.kelly@murraystate.edu) Phone: 270-809-4424 Cell: [REDACTED]
Secondary Contact () Phone:

Additional Case Contacts

Additional contacts with a valid email address from the conference or member institution will receive selected e-mails related to the case.

Email Delivery First Name Last Name Title E-mail Address

Terms and Conditions

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions

I understand the interpretations staff response will be based solely on the specific facts provided in this interpretive request. Any changes to the facts presented, or additional information relevant to this request, must be submitted through Requests/Self-Reports Online.

Agreement by Matt Kelly on 06/10/2019

Activity After Case Submission

Responses to Requests for Additional Information



Case Summary

General Case Information

Case Number	Case Type	Sub Case Type	Release to Database
1057471	Interpretation Request	Playing and Practice Seasons - Bylaw 17	No

Division	Sport(s)
I	

Legislative Cite(s)

17.15.5.3 - Annual Exemptions.

Status Information

Status	Status Date	Status Level	Status Level Type
Resolved	05/10/2019	Staff	Individual

Conditions

Rationale

Interpretation:: The US national team is composed of individual members selected via the national governing body by the national team coach located at the US Olympic Training Center. Although there may be select members of the US national team that are also members of the United States Army Marksmanship Unit, the United States Army Marksmanship Unit is not considered within the category of "national team".

Case Summary

Specific Case Information

Describe the Interpretive request The United States Army Marksmanship Unit is the elite marksmanship unit for the US Military. Murray State would like to shoot an exhibition match at home with the USAMU. We are seeking to determine if the USAMU falls under the category of "National Team" for the purposes of exempting this match from the total of 13 allowable countable matches each year.

Provide the conference analysis of the interpretive request.

Provide the institution's analysis of the Interpretive request.



Requests/Self-Reports Online
Case Management System

Institution: Murray State University
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1057471
Date: 06/25/2019

Page 1 - General Information

General Information

Institution Information

Institution or Conference: Murray State University
Division of Institution: I
Conference: Ohio Valley Conference
Phone Number: 270/809-3763
Academic year related to this request: 2019-20

Sub Case Types

Please check all the Interpretation Request sub-case types and conditions that apply

Playing and Practice Seasons - Bylaw 17

Legislation Related to this Request

Type	Division ID	Text
Provide cite(s) of applicable legislation, educational column or interpretation	I	17.15.5.3 Annual Exemptions.

One sentence statement that describes the interpretive issue. Is there consideration for the United States Army Marksmanship Unit to fall within the category of "National Team" for the purpose of one allowable non-countable exhibition match annually?

Case Prioritization Information

Do the circumstances of this request require urgent review (within the next two business days)? No

Page 2 - Case Information

Case Information

Terms and Conditions

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions
I understand the Interpretations staff response will be based solely on the specific facts provided in this Interpretive request. Any changes to the facts presented, or additional information relevant to this request, must be submitted through Requests/Self-Reports Online.

Agreement by Matt Kelly on 05/09/2019

Activity After Case Submission

Responses to Requests for Additional Information



Case Summary

General Case Information

Case Number	Case Type	Sub Case Type	Release to Database
1052108	Interpretation Request	Awards and Benefits - Bylaw 16	No

Division	Sport(s)
I	

Legislative Cite(s)

16.11.1.5 - Occasional Meals.

Status Information

Status	Status Date	Status Level	Status Level Type
Resolved	03/26/2019	Staff	Individual

Conditions

Rationale

Interpretation:: Pursuant to Bylaw 16.11.1.5, occasional meals provided by an institutional staff member to a student-athlete are not restricted to campus restaurants, and may occur in the locale of your institution. An institution's locale is not defined in the legislation. As an institution, you have some discretion to determine a reasonable locale for your institution. By way of guidance, you may look to other institutional policies (e.g., for mileage reimbursement, students working internships, etc.) You may also look to recruiting legislation which restricts entertainment on visits to a 30-mile radius of the institution.

Note the second half of Bylaw 16.11.1.5 restricts the provision of official meals by representatives of athletics interests to the booster's home, on campus, or the team's regular home competition facility.

Case Summary

Specific Case Information

Describe the interpretive request I have a new administrator who states that at a previous Institution, the compliance office gave permission for them to take an individual student-athlete to lunch at a restaurant as an occasional meal for the purpose of mentoring, professional development, and career talk. It is my understanding that occasional meals can not ever happen at a restaurant that is not on campus. I am seeking clarification to make sure we are doing this correctly at our institution. .

Provide the conference analysis of the interpretive request.

Provide the institution's analysis of the interpretive request.



Institution: Murray State University
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1052108
Date: 06/26/2019

Page 1 - General Information

General Information

Institution Information

Institution or Conference Murray State University
Division of Institution I
Conference Ohio Valley Conference
Phone Number 270/809-3763
Academic year related to this request 2018-19

Sub Case Types

Please check all the Interpretation Request sub-case types and conditions that apply

Awards and Benefits - Bylaw 1.6

Legislation Related to this Request

Provide cite(s) of applicable legislation,
educational column or interpretation

Type	Division ID	Text
Bylaw	I	16.11.1.5 Occasional Meals.

One sentence statement that describes the
interpretive issue.

Under what circumstance (if any) is it permissible for a current coach or athletics
administrator to take an individual student-athlete for a meal at a restaurant.

Case Prioritization Information

Do the circumstances of this request require
urgent review (within the next two business
days)? No

Page 2 - Case Information

Case Information

Case Information

All Requests

Describe the Interpretive request: I have a new administrator who states that at a previous institution, the compliance office gave permission for them to take an individual student-athlete to lunch at a restaurant as an occasional meal for the purpose of mentoring, professional development, and career talk. It is my understanding that occasional meals can not ever happen at a restaurant that is not on campus. I am seeking clarification to make sure we are doing this correctly at our institution. .

Have you previously contacted any NCAA staff regarding this request? No

Have the institution and conference communicated regarding this request? No

Page 3 - Case Documentation

Case Documentation

(Maximum individual file size is 10MB.) Upload each document individually.

Recommended Documentation

If necessary

Provide any documentation related to the Interpretive request

Page 4 - Case Contacts and Submission

Case Contacts and Submission

Select Contacts for this Case

Primary Contact: Matt Kelly (matt.kelly@murraystate.edu) Phone: 270-809-4424 Cell: [REDACTED]

Secondary Contact: () Phone:

Additional Case Contacts

Additional contacts with a valid email address from the conference or member institution will receive selected e-mails related to the case.

Email Delivery First Name Last Name Title E-mail Address

Terms and Conditions

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions
I understand the Interpretations staff response will be based solely on the specific facts provided in this interpretive request. Any changes to the facts presented, or additional information relevant to this request, must be submitted through Requests/Self-Reports Online.

Agreement by Matt Kelly on 03/24/2019

Activity After Case Submission

Responses to Requests for Additional Information



Case Summary

General Case Information

Case Number	Case Type	Sub Case Type	Release to Database
1051694	Interpretation Request	Recruiting - Bylaw 13	No
Division	Sport(s)		
I			

Legislative Cite(s)

13.4.1.5(13.4.1.4 current) - Printed Recruiting Materials.

Status Information

Status	Status Date	Status Level	Status Level Type
Resolved	03/18/2019	Staff	Individual

Conditions

Rationale

Interpretation:: The institution may send a stack of permissible general correspondence to a PSA for him or her to put together and that image can then exceed 8 1/2 by 11 inches. However, the nuance to this is that it would not be permissible for the institution to have all pieces of correspondence already put together.

Case Summary

Specific Case Information

Describe the interpretive request If a Coach sends something via Postal Service that is within the 8.5 x 11 limitations in bylaw 13.4.1.5 (a), but also then sends multiple items in separate mailings, when the PSA has received all of the mailings, these items can be put together and they are really a large personalized poster that is actually 16 pieces of 8.5 x 11 mailings . I am attaching an example. It is not my intention to cause an issue for the institution in my example, but I have a coach asking me if it is permissible for them to do this with someone they are recruiting. My sense is that it may be permissible but I am not sure it is within the spirit of the rule limiting items to 8.5 x 11. I would appreciate some guidance so I can be confident we are doing things correctly.

Provide the conference analysis of the interpretive request.

Provide the institution's analysis of the interpretive request.



Institution: Murray State University
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1051694
Date: 06/26/2019

Page 1 - General Information

General Information

Institution Information

Institution or Conference: Murray State University

Division of Institution: I

Conference: Ohio Valley Conference

Phone Number: 270/809-3763

Academic year related to this request: 2018-19

Sub Case Types

Please check all the Interpretation Request sub-case types and conditions that apply

Recruiting - Bylaw 13

Legislation Related to this Request

Provide cite(s) of applicable legislation, educational column or interpretation	Type	Division ID	Text
	Bylaw	13.4.1.5(13.4.1.4 current)	<u>Printed Recruiting Materials.</u>

One sentence statement that describes the interpretive issue. Is it permissible to send multiple mailings that when all received become a larger item than 8.5 x 11?

Case Prioritization Information

Do the circumstances of this request require urgent review (within the next two business days)? No

Page 2 - Case Information

Case Information

Case Information

All Requests

Describe the interpretive request: If a Coach sends something via Postal Service that is within the 8.5 x 11 limitations in bylaw 13.4.1.5 (a), but also then sends multiple items in separate mailings, when the PSA has received all of the mailings, these items can be put together and they are really a large personalized poster that is actually 16 pieces of 8.5 x 11 mailings. I am attaching an example. It is not my intention to cause an issue for the institution in my example, but I have a coach asking me if it is permissible for them to do this with someone they are recruiting. My sense is that it may be permissible but I am not sure it is within the spirit of the rule limiting items to 8.5 x 11. I would appreciate some guidance so I can be confident we are doing things correctly.

Have you previously contacted any NCAA staff regarding this request? No

Have the institution and conference communicated regarding this request? No

Page 3 - Case Documentation

Case Documentation

(Maximum individual file size is 10MB.) Upload each document individually.

Recommended Documentation

If necessary

Provide any documentation related to the interpretive request

[IMG_9955.JPG](#)

Uploaded on 03/16/2019 by Matt Kelly

Page 4 - Case Contacts and Submission

Case Contacts and Submission

Select Contacts for this Case

Primary Contact: Matt Kelly (matt.kelly@murraystate.edu) Phone: 270-809-4424 Cell: [REDACTED]

Secondary Contact: () Phone:

Additional Case Contacts

Additional contacts with a valid email address from the conference or member institution will receive selected e-mails related to the case.

Email Delivery First Name Last Name Title E-mail Address

Terms and Conditions

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions
I understand the interpretations staff response will be based solely on the specific facts provided in this interpretive request. Any changes to the facts presented, or additional information relevant to this request, must be submitted through Requests/Self-Reports Online.

Agreement by Matt Kelly on 03/16/2019

Activity After Case Submission

Responses to Requests for Additional Information



Case Summary

General Case Information

Case Number	Case Type	Sub Case Type	Release to Database
1057942	Interpretation Request	Athletics Personnel - Bylaw 11	No

Division	Sport(s)
I	

Legislative Cite(s)

11.3.2.8 - Promotion or Endorsement of a Prospective Student-Athlete's Team, Coach or Athletics Facility.
 11.3.2.8.1 - Exception -- Actions That Indicate Approval of Content on Social Media Platforms.

Status Information

Status	Status Date	Status Level	Status Level Type
Resolved	05/22/2019	Staff	Bylaw Team Meeting

Conditions

Rationale

Interpretation:: No, it is not permissible for an institutional staff member to post a direct link from the institution's or the staff member's personal social media account about a high school. Such an arrangement is an endorsement, since the social media handle provides a direct link back to the high school.

However, based on the facts within this scenario, staff is willing to provide flexibility as it relates to this specific scenario being classified as an endorsement. The response from the director of athletics was not intended to endorse the high school and the staff member was indicating that he/she was at an event being hosted by the high school.

Case Summary

Specific Case Information

Describe the Interpretive request Athletic Director posted a tweet that includes the Twitter handle "@" of a local high school. However, it was not the Twitter handle for athletics, athletics facilities, a coach, or a PSA so I am asking how 11.3.2.8 applies as it is specifically worded "shall not promote or endorse a PSA's team or coach or athletic facility that is primarily used..." I have attached screen shots. If this is a violation because it does promote an event (charitable) at a local high school, then I need to report it and educate the administrator. However, if it is not a violation, then I still want to educate as to why it is not. Overall, I am just looking for some guidance on this particular issue.

Provide the conference analysis of the Interpretive request.

Provide the institution's analysis of the Interpretive request.



Institution: Murray State University
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1057942
Date: 06/26/2019

Page 1 - General Information

General Information

Institution Information

Institution or Conference: Murray State University
Division of Institution: I
Conference: Ohio Valley Conference
Phone Number: 270/809-3763
Academic year related to this request: 2018-19

Sub Case Types

Please check all the Interpretation Request sub-case types and conditions that apply

Athletics Personnel - Bylaw 11

Legislation Related to this Request:

Provide cite(s) of applicable legislation, educational column or interpretation	Type	Division	ID	Text
	Bylaw	I	11.3.2.8	<u>Promotion or Endorsement of a Prospective Student-Athlete's Team, Coach or Athletics Facility.</u>
	Bylaw	I	11.3.2.8.1	<u>Exception -- Actions That Indicate Approval of Content on Social Media Platforms.</u>

One sentence statement that describes the interpretive issue. Question about whether a particular tweet may be a violation or not based on the wording in this bylaw.

Case Prioritization Information

Do the circumstances of this request require urgent review (within the next two business days)? No

Page 2 - Case Information

Case Information

Case Information

All Requests

Describe the interpretive request: Athletic Director posted a tweet that includes the Twitter handle "@ " of a local high school. However, it was not the Twitter handle for athletics, athletics facilities, a coach, or a PSA so I am asking how 11.3.2.8 applies as it is specifically worded "shall not promote or endorse a PSA's team or coach or athletic facility that is primarily used..." I have attached screen shots. If this is a violation because it does promote an event (charitable) at a local high school, then I need to report it and educate the administrator. However, if it is not a violation, then I still want to educate as to why it is not. Overall, I am just looking for some guidance on this particular issue.

Have you previously contacted any NCAA staff regarding this request? No

Have the institution and conference communicated regarding this request? No

Page 3 - Case Documentation

Case Documentation

(Maximum individual file size is 10MB.) Upload each document individually.

Recommended Documentation

If necessary

Provide any documentation related to the interpretive request

[MCHS_twitter_profile.pdf](#)

Uploaded on 05/15/2019 by Matt Kelly

[Saal_Tweet.pdf](#)

Uploaded on 05/15/2019 by Matt Kelly

Page 4 - Case Contacts and Submission

Case Contacts and Submission

Select Contacts for this Case

Primary Contact: Matt Kelly (matt.kelly@murraystate.edu) Phone: 270-809-4424 Cell: [REDACTED]

Secondary Contact: () Phone:

Additional Case Contacts

Additional contacts with a valid email address from the conference or member institution will receive selected e-mails related to the case.

Email Delivery First Name Last Name Title E-mail Address

Terms and Conditions

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions

I understand the Interpretations staff response will be based solely on the specific facts provided in this interpretive request. Any changes to the facts presented, or additional information relevant to this request, must be submitted through Requests/Self-Reports Online.

Agreement by Matt Kelly on 05/15/2019

Activity After Case Submission

Responses to Requests for Additional Information



Case Summary

General Case Information

Case Number	Case Type	Sub Case Type	Release to Database
1051411	Interpretation Request	Eligibility - Bylaw 14 Four-Year College Transfers-Bylaw 14.5.5 (Including Graduate Transfers - Bylaws 14.6.1)	No

Division **Sport(s)**

I

Legislative Cite(s)

- Enrollment Requirements for Postgraduate Participation -- Undergraduate v. Transfer Institution (I)
 14.6 - Graduate Student/Postbaccalaureate Participation.
 14.6.1 - One-Time Transfer Exception.

Status Information

Status	Status Date	Status Level	Status Level Type
Resolved	03/14/2019	Staff	Individual

Conditions

Rationale

Interpretation:: Since SA is a 4-4-4 transfer in a sport without the one-time transfer exception, the SA must have a graduate transfer waiver approved to be immediately eligible. On the resource tab then clicking "Legislative Relief Resource Page" and then clicking "Division I Previously Approved Waivers List" and "Division I Information Standards, Guidelines and Directives" and will get you the specific directives for graduate transfers.

Case Summary

Specific Case Information

Describe the interpretive request: How does mid year graduation and post graduate participation work with transfer eligibility status.

Provide the conference analysis of the interpretive request.

Provide the institution's analysis of the interpretive request.



Institution: Murray State University
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1051411
Date: 06/26/2019

General Information

Institution Information

Institution or Conference Murray State University

Division of Institution I

Conference Ohio Valley Conference

Phone Number 270/809-3763

Academic year related to this request 2018-19

Sub Case Types

Please check all the Interpretation Request sub-case types and conditions that apply

Eligibility - Bylaw 14

Eligibility - Bylaw 14 Sub-Case Types

Four-Year College Transfers-Bylaw 14.5.5
(Including Graduate Transfers - Bylaws 14.6.1)

Legislation Related to this Request

Provide cite(s) of applicable legislation, educational column or interpretation	Type	Division	ID	Text
	Interpretation	I		<u>Enrollment Requirements for Postgraduate Participation -- Undergraduate v. Transfer Institution (I)</u>
	Bylaw	I	14.6	<u>Graduate Student/Postbaccalaureate Participation.</u>
	Bylaw	I	14.6.1	<u>One-Time Transfer Exception.</u>

One sentence statement that describes the interpretive issue. If a student is a 4-4-4 transfer in basketball and is a mid-year graduate, how does the graduate transfer rule/exception apply?

Case Prioritization Information

Do the circumstances of this request require urgent review (within the next two business days)? No

Case Information

All Requests

Describe the interpretive request: How does mid year graduation and post graduate participation work with transfer eligibility status.

Have you previously contacted any NCAA staff regarding this request? No

Have the institution and conference communicated regarding this request? No

Page 3 - Case Documentation

Case Documentation

(Maximum individual file size is 10MB.) Upload each document individually.

Recommended Documentation

If necessary

Provide any documentation related to the interpretive request

[Graduate transfer explanation and question.docx](#)
Uploaded on 03/12/2019 by Matt Kelly

Page 4 - Case Contacts and Submission

Case Contacts and Submission

Select Contacts for this Case

Primary Contact: Matt Kelly (matt.kelly@murraystate.edu) Phone: 270-809-4424 Cell: XXXXXXXXXX

Secondary Contact: () Phone:

Additional Case Contacts

Additional contacts with a valid email address from the conference or member institution will receive selected e-mails related to the case.

Email Delivery First Name Last Name Title E-mail Address

Terms and Conditions

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions
I understand the Interpretations staff response will be based solely on the specific facts provided in this interpretive request. Any changes to the facts presented, or additional information relevant to this request, must be submitted through Requests/Self-Reports Online.

Agreement by Matt Kelly on 03/12/2019

Activity After Case Submission

Responses to Requests for Additional Information