



Case Summary

General Case Information

Case Number	Case Type	Sub Case Type	Release to Database
1055315	Interpretation Request	Athletics Personnel - Bylaw 11 Recruiting - Bylaw 13	No

Division
I

Sport(s)

Legislative Cite(s)

11.7.3 - Noncoaching Staff Member with Sport-Specific Responsibilities.
13.1.2.3 - General Restrictions -- Staff Members and Governing Board.
11.01.7 - Manager.
13.11.1.7 - Nonscholastic-Based Basketball.
- Employment of Basketball Student Managers at Camps and Clinics (I)

Status Information

Status	Status Date	Status Level	Status Level Type
Resolved	04/19/2019	Staff	Bylaw Team Member Consult

Conditions

Rationale

Interpretation:: Based on the July 13, 2005 staff interpretation, which provides flexibility to student managers to be involved with noninstitutional camps and clinics, it would be permissible for a basketball student manager to be employed with a nonscholastic tournament since the manager is not considered a basketball coach or noncoaching staff member with sport specific responsibilities.

Case Summary

Specific Case Information

Describe the interpretive request

One of our graduate managers in the sport of Women's Basketball has approached us about an employment opportunity at an upcoming non-scholastic basketball event. In reviewing the legislation in Bylaw 11 and Bylaw 13, we know that Managers fall under their own classification in Bylaw 11.02. However, in terms of Bylaw 11.7.3 and Bylaw 13.1.2.3, it is not clear whether the manager would be classified under a non-coaching sport specific staff member even though, other than limited on-court or on-field activities, they definitely would qualify. Since both the manager bylaw and Bylaw 13.1.2.3 update were adopted the same year, a manager was not thought of when adopting the update to Bylaw 13.1.2.3. My initial thought is the individuals that hold manager titles, since they are students, would be allowed to attend events that PSA's are participating in the sports that they are managers in as long as they are not directed to do so by any member of the coaching staff or coaching staff of the opposite gender. Furthermore, a manager would also be allowed to be employed at events where PSA's in the sports that they are managers in are participating, including those of the opposite gender which is what would be the scenario in this case (e.g. Women's Basketball Manager being employed at a tournament that involves only PSA aged boys).

Provide the conference analysis of the interpretive request.

Provide the institution's analysis of the interpretive request.



Institution: University of Missouri-Kansas City
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1057936
Date: 06/20/2019

General Information

Institution Information

Institution or Conference University of Missouri-Kansas City

Division of Institution I

Conference Western Athletic Conference

Phone Number 816/235-1020

Academic year related to this request 2018-19

Sub Case Types

Please check all the Interpretation Request sub-case types and conditions that apply

Awards and Benefits - Bylaw 16

Legislation Related to this Request

Provide cite(s) of applicable legislation,
educational column or interpretation

Type	Division	ID	Text
Bylaw	I	16.11.2.1	General Rule.
Bylaw	I	16.11.1.1	General Rule.
Bylaw	I	16.02.3	Extra Benefit.

One sentence statement that describes the
interpretive issue.

Institution wants to invest in a barber chair for use (1) for a sponsorship deal that we will use during game days and (2) for use for our student-athletes.

Case Prioritization Information

Do the circumstances of this request require
urgent review (within the next two business
days)? No

Case Information

Case Information

All Requests

Describe the interpretive request

Institution is looking to get a sponsorship deal with a local hair stylist. With the sponsorship, the hair stylist will (1) provide institutional athletics staff with discounted haircuts (2) be available 2 hours prior to home games to provide UMKC students with a student discounted deal (3) hopefully be able to provide student-athletes with the same student discounted service. As part of this sponsorship, the athletics department will be purchasing 1 to 2 barber movable barber chairs. When the games are not going on, we would like these barber chairs to be housed in the locker rooms of our teams. Institution believes that with the student discount, there is no extra benefit being provided financially. Institution is seeking guidance on it being permissible for (1) the barber chairs to reside in the locker rooms for use by the student-athletes if they are so inclined to use them and (2) it being permissible that if the hair stylist wanted to provide time to our student-athletes at the discounted student rate that this would not be viewed as an extra benefit because he is making time to them through the sponsorship deal that we have arranged with him?

Have you previously contacted any NCAA staff regarding this request? No

Have the institution and conference communicated regarding this request? No

Page 3 - Case Documentation

Case Documentation

(Maximum individual file size is 10MB.) Upload each document individually.

Recommended Documentation

If necessary

Provide any documentation related to the interpretive request

Page 4 - Case Contacts and Submission

Case Contacts and Submission

Select Contacts for this Case

Primary Contact Randy Krahulik (krahulikr@umkc.edu) Phone: 816/235-1164 Cell: 913/634-6624

Secondary Contact () Phone:

Additional Case Contacts

Additional contacts with a valid email address from the conference or member institution will receive selected e-mails related to the case.

Email Delivery First Name Last Name Title E-mail Address

Terms and Conditions

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions

I understand the interpretations staff response will be based solely on the specific facts provided in this interpretive request. Any changes to the facts presented, or additional information relevant to this request, must be submitted through Requests/Self-Reports Online.

Agreement by Randy Kraulik on 05/15/2019

Activity After Case Submission

Responses to Requests for Additional Information



Institution: University of Missouri-Kansas City
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1057936
Date: 06/20/2019

[Decision PDF](#)

Decision

Accepted Date 06/20/2019

Accepted By Randy Krahulik

Your feedback is important. Would you like to give praise, report a problem or share an idea? With your help and input, we can continue to improve AMA's service and the RSRO submission process.

[Provide Feedback](#)

Status

Resolved

**Status
Date**

05/28/2019

Conditions

Rationale

Interpretation:: It is permissible for a student-athlete to utilize the haircut discount provided the opportunity to receive a haircut is available to both students and SAs at the same time. It would be considered an extra benefit if SAs received a prioritized or separate haircut time than the rest of the student body on campus.



Case Summary

General Case Information

Case Number	Case Type	Sub Case Type	Release to Database
1058357	Interpretation Request	Recruiting - Bylaw 13	No

Division	Sport(s)
I	

Legislative Cite(s)

13.6.7 - Entertainment/Tickets on Official Visit.
13.6.7.1.1 - Meals and Lodging While in Transit.
13.6.4 - Length of Official Visit.

Status Information

Status	Status Date	Status Level	Status Level Type
Resolved	05/21/2019	Staff	Individual

Conditions

Rationale

Interpretation:: It is permissible for the institution to provide official visit expenses, except for transportation, to a prospective student-athlete who will subsequently enroll at the institution following the visit. Please note that the official visit expenses may only be provided during the permissible 48-hour period.

Case Summary

Specific Case Information

Describe the interpretive request

Institution is looking at an international 4-4 transfer PSA. He would like to come visit the institution and if he commits, would stay in the local of the institution and begin summer school. Institution understands that if we would like to make this an official visit that 13.6.4 prevents us from paying for transportation as it would be a violation to provide one-way transportation. No matter the circumstances, institution is not paying for transportation for this visit. Institution just wants to be clear that if we wanted to provide an official visit that we could pay for all other cost associated with the visit (e.g. meals, lodging, transportation from airport to campus) in order to not trigger a violation if the PSA decides to stay in the states and go to school here. If not, institution believes that if the visit is unofficial, then institution would not commit any violations since the institution is not providing any funding for the visit.

Provide the conference analysis of the interpretive request.

Provide the institution's analysis of the interpretive request.