



Institution: University of Cincinnati
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1047021
Date: 06/19/2019

Page 1 - General Information

General Information

Institution Information

Institution or Conference	University of Cincinnati
Division of Institution	I
Conference	American Athletic Conference
Phone Number	513/556-4603
Academic year related to this request	2018-19

Sub Case Types

Please check all the Interpretation Request sub-case types and conditions that apply

Athletics Personnel - Bylaw 11

Legislation Related to this Request

Provide cite(s) of applicable legislation, educational column or interpretation	Type	Division	ID	Text
	Bylaw	I	11.7.2	Recruiting Coordination Functions.
	Education Column	I		Bylaw 11.7.2 -- Recruiting Coordination Functions (I)
One sentence statement that describes the interpretive issue.	After reviewing the educational column from November 3, 2016 we are asking for clarification on why it is permissible for a volunteer coach to send electronic correspondence directly to a PSA but not to their high school coach.			

Case Prioritization Information

Do the circumstances of this request require urgent review (within the next two business days)?

No

Page 2 - Case Information

Case Information

Case Information

All Requests

Describe the interpretive request	We are wanting more clarification on why a non-countable coach is able to send electronic correspondence to a PSA but cannot send it to their coach unless it is in conjunction with camp logistics. For example, a volunteer coach would like to send electronic correspondence to high school coaches and athletics directors asking for a PSA's number to enter it into a database for our countable coaches. We are wondering if this is included in the content restriction and if this would be a violation if our volunteer coach did this.
Have you previously contacted any NCAA staff regarding this request?	No
Have the institution and conference communicated regarding this request?	Yes
Provide the date(s) of contact between the institution and conference office regarding this request.	02/08/2019
Provide the conference analysis of the interpretive request.	The conference stated that since the volunteer coach is requesting the number of a specific recruit they are selecting prospects and therefore engaging in recruiting activities.
Provide the institution's analysis of the interpretive request.	The institution understands the conference's review, but cannot understand the rationale behind the legislation. If per the educational column a volunteer coach can directly text or email the PSA then it does not make sense that they could not send electronic correspondence to a high school coach to get general information such as a phone number of a PSA.

Page 3 - Case Documentation

Case Documentation

(Maximum individual file size is 10MB.) Upload each document individually.

Recommended Documentation

If necessary

Provide any documentation related to the interpretive request

Page 4 - Case Contacts and Submission

Case Contacts and Submission

Select Contacts for this Case

Primary Contact	Grace Kroner	[REDACTED]
Secondary Contact	Trever Wright (trever.wright@uc.edu)	Phone: [REDACTED]

Additional Case Contacts

Additional contacts with a valid email address from the conference or member institution will receive selected e-mails related to the case.

Email Delivery First Name Last Name Title E-mail Address

Terms and Conditions

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions

I understand the interpretations staff response will be based solely on the specific facts provided in this interpretive request. Any changes to the facts presented, or additional information relevant to this request, must be submitted through Requests/Self-Reports Online.

Agreement by Grace Kroner on 02/08/2019

Activity After Case Submission

Responses to Requests for Additional Information



Institution: University of Cincinnati
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1061048
Date: 06/19/2019

Page 1 - General Information

General Information

Institution Information

Institution or Conference	University of Cincinnati
Division of Institution	I
Conference	American Athletic Conference
Phone Number	513/556-4603
Academic year related to this request	2019-20

Sub Case Types

Please check all the Interpretation Request sub-case types and conditions that apply

Eligibility - Bylaw 14

Eligibility - Bylaw 14 Sub-Case Types

Four-Year College Transfers-Bylaw 14.5.5
(Including Graduate Transfers - Bylaws 14.6.1)

Legislation Related to this Request

Provide cite(s) of applicable legislation, educational column or interpretation	Type	Division	ID	Text
	Bylaw	I	14.5.5.2.10	One-Time Transfer Exception.
	Proposal	I	2019-10	ACADEMIC ELIGIBILITY -- TRANSFER REGULATIONS -- FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE TRANSFERS -- ONE-TIME TRANSFER EXCEPTION -- HEARING OPPORTUNITY - - NOTIFICATION AFTER DENIAL IN TRANSFER PORTAL
	Bylaw Education Column	I	14.5.5.2.10.1	Hearing Opportunity. Recruiting and Eligibility -- Four-Year Prospective Student-Athletes -- Transfer Release -- Response to Request and Hearing Opportunity. (I)

One sentence statement that describes the interpretive issue. If an institution denies a Student-Athlete (SA) the one-time transfer exception, but does not notify the SA of an opportunity to appeal within 7 business days is the SA immediately granted the one-time transfer exception?

Case Prioritization Information

Do the circumstances of this request require urgent review (within the next two business days)? Yes

Provide description of reason for expedited review request. The PSA would like to take part in junior nationals but cannot afford to. We would like to pay for this after she signs a Grant-in-aid but want to know if she is getting the one time waiver prior to signing.

Provide the date of the issue requiring expedited review 06/17/2019

Page 2 - Case Information

Case Information

Case Information

All Requests

Describe the interpretive request An institution told a Student-Athlete (SA) that they are denied the one-time transfer exception, but did not tell them about the opportunity to appeal. The seven days have passed since the SA asked for the one-time transfer exception in writing. Do they also need to tell the SA about the opportunity to appeal within seven days and if they do not is SA automatically granted the one-time transfer exception?

Have you previously contacted any NCAA staff regarding this request? Yes

Provide the case number or name(s) of NCAA staff previously contacted regarding this request I called the AMA request line on 6/3 and again on 6/7 and have not heard back from the staff.

Provide the date(s) of contact with NCAA staff regarding this request 06/03/2019

Have the institution and conference communicated regarding this request? No

Page 3 - Case Documentation

Case Documentation

(Maximum individual file size is 10MB.) Upload each document individually.

Recommended Documentation

If necessary

Provide any documentation related to the interpretive request

Page 4 - Case Contacts and Submission

Case Contacts and Submission

Select Contacts for this Case

Primary Contact Grace Kroner (kronerge@ucmail.uc.edu)

Secondary Contact Trever Wright (trever.wright@uc.edu)

Additional Case Contacts

Additional contacts with a valid email address from the conference or member institution will receive selected e-mails related to the case.

Email Delivery First Name Last Name Title E-mail Address

Terms and Conditions

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions

I understand the interpretations staff response will be based solely on the specific facts provided in this interpretive request. Any changes to the facts presented, or additional information relevant to this request, must be submitted through Requests/Self-Reports Online.

Agreement by Grace Kroner on 06/12/2019

Activity After Case Submission

Responses to Requests for Additional Information



Institution: University of Cincinnati
Case Type: Interpretation Request

Division: I

Case ID: 1061048
Date: 06/19/2019

[Decision PDF](#)

Decision

Accepted Date 06/12/2019

Accepted By Grace Kroner

Your feedback is important. Would you like to give praise, report a problem or share an idea? With your help and input, we can continue to improve AMA's service and the RSRO submission process.

[Provide Feedback](#)

Status

Resolved

**Status
Date**

06/12/2019

Conditions

Rationale

Interpretation:: An institution is required to include information pertaining to its appellate/hearing process when it chooses to deny a written request from a student-athlete for a transfer release (per Bylaws 14.5.5.2.10 & 14.5.5.2.10.1). The absence of this information in its written response does not fulfill this institutional obligation and therefore absent evidence that an additional written communication pertaining to this appellate opportunity was provided to the student-athlete within the requisite seven business days, the student-athlete is entitled to a default transfer release.